

*Research Paper*

## **The evolution of the relationship between cryptocurrencies and stocks: an empirical analysis of the influence of Bitcoin and Ethereum on Euronext**

*Submitted on 26<sup>th</sup> november 2024*

*Accepted on 06<sup>th</sup> February 2025*

*Evaluated by a double-blind review system*

**LUÍS COSTA<sup>1\*</sup>**  
**ELISABETE VIEIRA<sup>2</sup>**  
**MARA MADALENO<sup>3</sup>**

### **ABSTRACT**

**Purpose:** This work analyzes whether cryptocurrencies significantly influence Euronext stock returns.

**Design/methodology/approach:** To this end, this quantitative research analyzes companies from 4 Euronext financial markets between 2017 and 2022 using the panel data methodology. The Generalized Method of Moments (GMM) methodology was also used to make the analysis more robust.

**Findings:** This study concluded that Bitcoin and Ethereum positively and statistically significantly influence Euronext stock returns. Their notoriety caused them to lose the safe haven characteristics they displayed in a more embryonic phase and led them to be influenced by the same systemic factors that affect the stock market.

**Originality/value:** The results of this study are immensely important for private and institutional investors investing in Euronext stocks and looking to diversify their portfolios.

**Keywords:** *Stock Returns; Euronext; Bitcoin; Ethereum; Cryptocurrencies*

---

<sup>1</sup> GOVCOPP - Research Unit on Governance, Competitiveness and Public Policies, DEGEIT – Department of Economics, Management, Industrial Engineering and Tourism, University of Aveiro, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193, Aveiro, Portugal, miguelvelosocosta@ua.pt; <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5142-575>

<sup>2</sup> GOVCOPP - Research Unit on Governance, Competitiveness and Public Policies, Aveiro Institute of Accounting and Administration, University of Aveiro, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193, Aveiro, Portugal, elisabete.vieira@ua.pt; <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3593-368X>

<sup>3</sup> GOVCOPP - Research Unit on Governance, Competitiveness and Public Policies, DEGEIT – Department of Economics, Management, Industrial Engineering and Tourism, University of Aveiro, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193, Aveiro, Portugal, maramadaleno@ua.pt; <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4905-277>

## **1. Introduction**

The capital market is a very beneficial instrument for both companies and investors. Every type of investor, even the cautious ones, will look for more profitable destinations, at least for part of their assets (Nalurita, 2017). Considering this, it is essential to emphasize that historically, at least in developed countries, investment in stocks has proven to be a remarkable curriculum, as it is the asset that best remunerates capital (Fabris and Ješić, 2023).

However, reality also demonstrates that investing in stocks is a challenging task. For potential investors, stock investment decisions must be preceded by each company's economic and financial analysis. The literature suggests that investors can make sound investment decisions by analyzing historical data from companies, including balance sheets and income statements (Muhammad and Ali, 2018). A company's economic and financial analysis involves the treatment and analysis of all the information, and it can be an essential tool for investors in their decision-making process (Antara et al., 2014; Thakur and Workman, 2016).

In addition to the company-specific variables, the literature indicates that external indicators are also crucial in determining the returns of stocks (Lestari et al., 2022). Considering how well-known cryptocurrencies have become and the focus placed on them by the media and financial and government institutions, it is essential to analyze the impact these assets had on stock returns in capital markets (Glaser et al., 2014; Gil Alana, 2020).

The origin of cryptocurrencies dates back to 2008 (Nakamoto, 2008). Its technology has revolutionized the market by implementing a decentralized system where transactions are executed without intermediaries (peer-to-peer). These cryptocurrencies allow monitoring transactions through a public network, the Blockchain (Glaser et al., 2014; Almeida et al., 2021). Bitcoin was the first digital currency, but its success led to the emergence of many other cryptocurrencies, such as Ethereum, Ripple, Stellar, Tether, Dogecoin, Litecoin, Solana, and Binancecoin (Guesmi et al., 2019; Ahmed et al., 2024; Riahi et al., 2024; Suprayitno et al., 2024).

Cryptocurrencies are becoming increasingly popular as investment products with interesting returns and high risks (Dyhrberg, 2016a; Ciaian and Rajcaniova, 2018;

Nguyen, 2022). Sabalionis et al. (2021), Bouri et al. (2023), and Vries (2023) indicate that Bitcoin and Ethereum are the cryptocurrencies with the most incredible notoriety and transaction volume. Therefore, considering the current situation and the scarcity of studies on the topic, the main objective of this work is to study the influence that Bitcoin and Ethereum have on Euronext stock returns.

This work is relevant because Bitcoin and Ethereum have increased in popularity and become investment assets for many institutional and private investors (Bouri et al., 2023; Vries, 2023). Therefore, understanding how changes in Bitcoin and Ethereum prices can affect Euronext stock returns is crucial for investors and portfolio managers, considering that if a strong causality between Bitcoin/Ethereum price movements and stock returns from Euronext exists, it could have significant implications for investors looking to diversify their portfolios. For example, suppose Bitcoin and Euronext stock prices tend to move in the same direction. In that case, the potential risk of investment portfolios increases, so investors may need to adjust their asset allocation strategies to manage that risk appropriately. For this reason, carrying out this work is relevant to building a more comprehensive and informed understanding of contemporary financial markets. Furthermore, this work is relevant as we are unaware of any study that analyzes Bitcoin and Ethereum's impact on Euronext stock returns. As one of the leading stock exchanges in Europe, Euronext is not immune to the constant technological changes, and as such, understanding how blockchain technology and cryptocurrencies are shaping financial markets is essential for the stock exchange to adapt to technological changes, develop new products and services, and maintain its competitiveness.

This work analyzes a sample of non-financial companies listed on Euronext Amsterdam, Brussels Lisbon, and Paris between 2017 and 2022. Our results show that Bitcoin and Ethereum positively impact Euronext stock returns. Furthermore, company-specific and macroeconomic indicators are essential in determining Euronext stock returns.

The rest of the chapter develops as follows: section 2 contains a literature review, while section 3 presents the methodology, the database, and the variables used. Section 4 presents and discusses the empirical results. Finally, we present a conclusion for the study, its limitations, and suggestions for future research.

## 2. Bibliographic Review

Cryptocurrency markets have grown in importance worldwide in recent years, gaining much attention from the scientific community. The notoriety acquired by cryptocurrencies, as well as the rapid development of their market, has been associated with the sharp increase in the trading volume of Bitcoin, and its study is still in an embryonic stage (Šafka, 2014; Urquhart, 2016; Gil Alana, 2020). Kristoufek (2015) states that Bitcoin has unique characteristics and can simultaneously represent a financial and speculative asset.

One of the most unique and essential characteristics of Bitcoin is that it is not controlled by any monetary authority, company, or government (Jia et al., 2023). This cryptocurrency also has low transaction costs and is protected by cryptography, making it practically impossible to be targeted by fraud. These facts highlight the tremendous competitive advantage that Bitcoin has when compared to other existing assets that are transacted in financial markets. Therefore, increasing economic agents believe that Bitcoin will receive more acceptance in the future (Sinlapates et al., 2023). Several studies on Bitcoin already exist, focusing on the store of value (Baumöhl, 2019; Kristjanpoller and Bouri, 2019; Bouri et al., 2020; Kwon, 2020; Shahzad et al., 2020), market efficiency (Bouoiyour and Selmi, 2015; Cheah and Fry, 2015; Urquhart, 2016; Bariviera, 2017; Nadarajah and Chu, 2017; Vidal Tomás and Ibañez, 2018), price volatility (Katsiampa, 2017; Dastgir et al., 2019; Urquhart, 2018; López Cabarcos et al., 2021) and the relationship with stock returns (Van Wijk, 2013; Klein et al., 2018; Salisu et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2020; Kwon, 2020; Maghyreh and Abdoh, 2020; Matkovskyy et al., 2020). However, the literature regarding the relationship between Bitcoin and stock returns is not consensual. Some studies find a positive association, others find a negative relationship, while others do not find any evidence of a significant association between the two variables.

Guesmi et al. (2019) analyzed the relationship between Bitcoin and stock returns worldwide, considering the period between 2012 and 2018. The results indicate no significant association between Bitcoin and stock returns, and therefore, the use of Bitcoin in an investment portfolio can considerably reduce the risk compared to the risk of a portfolio composed only of stocks. Afterward, Gil Alana et al. (2020) analyzed whether any association exists between the top six cryptocurrencies and stock returns in the United

States. The sample was established between 2015 and 2018, and Ethereum, Ripple, Litecoin, Stellar, and Tether were also observed in addition to Bitcoin. The combined market capitalization of the selected cryptocurrencies constituted 80.22% of the top 100 cryptocurrencies by market capitalization value as of the end of October 5, 2018. The results suggest no association between Bitcoin and stock returns, which implies that Bitcoin is decoupled from the leading financial assets and belongs to a new asset class, different from the others. The authors argue that the lack of a strong and consistent relationship between Bitcoin and the stock market can be attributed to several reasons, including lack of regulation, instability in the cryptocurrency market, and lack of measurable intrinsic value.

Fabris and Ješić (2023) indicate that Bitcoin and other cryptocurrencies have high volatility, making them risky for investors. Considering this and to clarify the literature, the authors analyzed whether Bitcoin impacts stock returns worldwide. The results corroborate the research by Liu and Tsyvinski (2021) and suggest that no relationship exists between Bitcoin and the stock market.

Studies that found evidence that the Bitcoin price variation has a negative association with stock returns also exist. Bouri et al. (2017) examined whether Bitcoin can act as a hedge and a safe haven for equity investments worldwide. For this purpose, the authors gathered a sample between 2011 and 2015. Empirical results indicate that Bitcoin is generally a hedge that investors can use for diversification purposes, with this association being more evident for Asian stocks. Bouri et al. (2020) investigate whether Bitcoin, gold, and commodities can be considered safe havens for stocks in periods of crisis. The results suggest that Bitcoin has a negative association with stocks and, therefore, can be considered a safe haven for stocks in times of crisis, but gold and commodities remain more effective.

Marçal et al. (2020) studied whether Bitcoin can be used as a hedge for the investors of 89 companies listed on the São Paulo Stock Exchange. For this purpose, and using the panel data methodology, the authors used the price and returns of stocks as dependent variables. Independent variables bring together a set of company-specific variables alongside the value of Bitcoin. The results suggest that the increase negatively influences the market value of companies in terms of the price of Bitcoin. Furthermore, the relevance of the company-specific variables is reduced when Bitcoin is included in the model,

indicating a potential risk of losing relevance regarding company-specific information in the face of this type of indicator. According to the authors, when the economy grows, investors tend to profit more from the stock market; therefore, during the bull market period, the price of Bitcoin will fall. On the other hand, when the economy is in recession, the stock market is depressed and falling, and the price of Bitcoin tends to rise. All of this validates the idea that Bitcoin is a safe haven asset in the face of stock market uncertainty, especially when the markets are falling.

Attarzadeh and Balcilar (2022) state that Bitcoin has the potential to be a risk protection tool against any uncertainty, be it political, economic, or natural. Other additional studies indicate that when the value of Bitcoin goes up, the value of stocks goes down, and vice-versa. This evidence suggests that investors can use Bitcoin as a safe haven asset and can use cryptocurrencies as a form of diversification outside the stock market (Wang et al., 2016; Corbet et al., 2018; Matkovskyy and Jalan, 2019; Shahzad et al., 2019; Bouri et al., 2020; Hu et al., 2020; Chu et al., 2021; Mariana et al., 2021; Sensoy et al., 2021). Dyrberg (2016b) investigated whether an association existed between Bitcoin and stock returns on the London Stock Exchange between 2010 and 2015. The results show that, on average, Bitcoin associates positively with stock returns. This may occur because investors consider stocks and Bitcoin as high-risk, high-return assets and tend to invest in these assets in times of economic optimism.

Salisu et al. (2019) examined Bitcoin's impact on stock returns in G7 countries (Canada, Germany, France, Italy, Japan, the UK, and the US). The authors justify the sample selection because they are classified as the most critical developed stock exchange markets and are primarily influenced by common factors. The sample contains data from 2010 to 2017. The results indicate that Bitcoin has a positive association with stock returns, with this effect being statistically significant in all the analyzed stock exchanges. These results contradict the thesis that Bitcoin can serve as a hedge asset in an equity portfolio, as it is an asset that tends to follow the market trend, ultimately increasing the risk level of an equity portfolio. These authors indicate that the stock returns of the G7 countries are more easily identified by models based on the price of Bitcoin than by their respective macroeconomic variables, except for Japan. An explanation for this result is the fact that the stocks of the G7 markets are integrated into the world economy, which can result in them being more prone to external shocks rather than internal ones.

Conlon and MacGee (2020) analyzed whether a relationship existed between Bitcoin and the USA stock market during the year 2020. The results suggest that stock prices tend to decline as Bitcoin declines in value, which indicates that investing in Bitcoin is not a safe haven for stock investments. The authors corroborate a study by Al-Khazali et al. (2018) and indicate that Bitcoin is a volatile asset that tends to increase an investment portfolio's risk substantially. Finally, Curto (2022) analyzed the correlation between Bitcoin and the NASDAQ index stocks between 2014 and 2021. The author indicates a positive association between the variables and that the correlation per year reached the highest value in 2020. In 2021, despite the decrease in value, the correlation continued above the average value of the total sample, demonstrating that Bitcoin behaves increasingly similarly to the stock market. Other investigations report a positive association between Bitcoin and stock returns (Van Wijk, 2013; Symitsi and Chalvatzis, 2018; Smales, 2019; Chaim and Laurini, 2019; Almeida et al., 2021; Rai, 2022; Jia et al., 2023). Based on the literature analyzed, we propose our first research hypothesis.

Hypothesis 1: There is a positive association between the annual returns of Bitcoin and the annual returns of Euronext stocks.

This study will include Ethereum because it is the second-largest cryptocurrency (Beneki et al., 2019; Bouri et al., 2020; Gil Alana et al., 2020; Meshcheryakov and Ivanov, 2020; Mariana et al., 2021; Sabalionis et al., 2021; Bouri et al., 2023; Vries, 2023). The inclusion of this cryptocurrency may allow for the validation of the results obtained about Bitcoin. If the identified patterns and trends are consistent between both cryptocurrencies, the confidence in the results of this investigation will be strengthened. Therefore, we propose our second research hypothesis.

Hypothesis 2: There is a positive association between the annual returns of Ethereum and the annual returns of Euronext stocks.

### **3. Data, Variables, Methodology**

#### ***3.1 Sample***

The sample is composed of 311 companies belonging to the financial centers of Amsterdam (13.18%), Brussels (15.43%), Lisbon (7.07%), and Paris (64.31%) and focuses on the period between 2017 and 2022. Only non-financial companies that



reported their accounts in euros on December 31 were selected (Ribeiro and Quesado, 2017; Li et al., 2020).

### **3.2 Variables**

The dependent variable used in this study is stock returns (Din, 2017; Neves et al., 2018; Muhammad and Ali, 2018; Vieira et al., 2019; Costa et al., 2021; Dinh, 2023; Costa et al., 2024b).

The literature states that companies' economic and financial indicators such as size, liquidity, leverage, and return on assets (ROA) are essential in determining stock returns, as they allow for a detailed view of companies' financial and operational performance (Nisa and Nishat, 2011; Narayan and Bannigidadmath, 2015; Phan et al., 2015; Narayan et al., 2016; Nonejad, 2017; Pražák and Stavárek, 2017; Todorova, 2017; Devpura et al., 2018; Muhammad and Ali, 2018; Husna and Satria, 2019; Salisu et al., 2019; Santo sa, 2019; Vieira et al., 2019; Meurer et al., 2020; Ozturk and Karabulut, 2020; Costa et al., 2021; Kim et al., 2021; Lucita and Mulyana, 2021; Costa 2022a; Costa 2022b; Fernandes and Costa, 2023; Costa et al., 2024a; Costa et al., 2024b; Costa et al., 2024c). On the other hand, macroeconomic variables, such as the inflation rate and the real GDP growth rate, play a crucial role in determining the economic environment in which companies operate and, consequently, in determining stock returns (Nisa and Nishat, 2011; Din, 2017; Neves et al., 2018; Vieira et al., 2019; Pericoli, 2020; Costa et al., 2021; Costa 2022a; Islam et al., 2023). Therefore, in this study, economic and financial indicators and macroeconomic control variables are used to obtain a more accurate analysis of the impact of Bitcoin and Ethereum on the return of Euronext stocks. The variables used in this study were chosen based on the most recent scientific evidence, as well as their relevance in capturing the dynamics of Euronext stocks returns. (Neves et al., 2018; Vieira et al., 2019; Meurer et al., 2020; Ozturk and Karabulut, 2020; Kim et al., 2021; Lucita and Mulyana, 2021; Costa et al., 2024b; Rahmi et al., 2024; Sun et al., 2024; Zhao et al., 2024). Table 1 displays the variables used in the empirical part of this chapter.



**Table I: Presentation of the variables**

Variable	Code	Description	Calculation form	Expected influence	
<b>Dependent variable</b>	<i>R</i>	Stock Returns	$\ln \frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}}$ P is the year-end price of the stock		Tehrani and Tehrani (2015), Anwaar (2016), Din (2017), Muhammad and Ali (2018), Neves et al. (2018), Nurfadila (2020), Costa et al. (2021), Costa (2022b), Dinh (2023)
<b>Crypto assets (Independent variables)</b>	<i>Bit</i>	Bitcoin	$\ln \frac{Bit_t}{Bit_{t-1}}$ ; Bit is the year-end price of Bitcoin	+	Attarzadeh and Balcilar (2022), Hu et al. (2020), Chu et al. (2021), Mariana et al. (2021), Sensoy et al. (2021)
	<i>Eth</i>	Ethereum	$\ln \frac{Eth_t}{Eth_{t-1}}$ ; Eth is the year-end price of Ethereum	+	Gil Alana et al. (2020), Meshcheryakov and Ivanov (2020), Mariana et al. (2021), Sabalionis et al. (2021), Bouri et al. (2023), Vries (2023)
<b>Control variables (Independent variables)</b>	<i>Size</i>	Size	Ln (Total Assets)	+	Vieira et al. (2019), Costa (2022a), Costa (2022b), Sun et al. (2024)
	<i>Liq</i>	Liquidity	$\frac{Current Assets}{Current Liabilities}$	+	Nisa and Nishat (2011), Vieira et al. (2018), Costa et al. (2024a)
			$\frac{Total Liabilities}{Total Assets}$	-	Nisa and Nishat (2011), Adami et al. (2013), Santosa and Puspitasa, (2019), Nguyen et al. (2019), Nadyayani and Suarjaya (2021), Sun et al. (2024), Zhao et al. (2024)
	<i>ROA</i>	Return on Assets	$\frac{Ebit}{Assets}$	+	Neves et al. (2018), Husna and Satria (2019), Nguyen et al. (2019), Rahmi et al. (2024), Sun et al. (2024)
	<i>Infl</i>	Inflation rate (%)	The Consumer Price Index (CPI) inflation as a proxy for the company's country inflation	-	Nisa and Nishat (2011), Nguyen et al. (2019), Pericoli (2020), Costa et al. (2021)
	<i>GDP</i>	Real GDP growth rate (%)	Real growth rate of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) of the company's country	+	Gan et al. (2006), Acikalin et al. (2008), Nisa and Nishat (2011), Din (2017), Costa (2022a), Islam et al. (2023), Sun et al. (2024)

Source: Own preparation

The values from the financial statements and the quotations of the companies were collected from the website of The Wall Street Journal (Serafeim and Yoon, 2022). Macroeconomic variables were taken from Eurostat (Amaro and Costa, 2023). Finally, the value of Bitcoin and Ethereum in euros was collected from the Investing website, based on the study by Gil Alana et al. (2020).

### 3.3 Methodology

We use the panel data methodology, as it is the appropriate methodology when longitudinal observations are available, that is, the same companies over a period of time. This allows for a more accurate analysis of the effects of the independent variables on the dependent variable. The panel data econometric methodology is widely used in several areas, such as economics, finance, political science, and sociology. It allows for analyzing complex relations between variables over time, allowing a more accurate and compelling analysis of the studied phenomena (Baltagi, 2008; Wooldridge, 2010). Econometric panel data models can be classified into models with fixed effects (FE) and random effects (RE). Models with fixed effects control the individual differences, while models with random effects assume that individual differences are random and unrelated to the independent variables (Schielzeth et al., 2020). We use the Hausman test to find the best model between the FE or RE estimator (Gujarati and Porter, 2009; Di Simone and Zanardi, 2021). Furthermore, we used the Wooldridge test to assess the need for estimators with robust standard errors in each panel model (Wooldridge, 2010).

In the second phase, we will use the GMM methodology to make the analysis more robust because endogeneity may affect the model (Arellano, 2003; Santana et al., 2020). The GMM is considered one of the most advanced econometric techniques, and its application is increasing (Farooq et al., 2020). In this chapter, we cautiously ensured the data were stationary for the most accurate results (Kalam, 2020; Harvey et al., 2021). For this purpose, we used the first differences in all variables (Johnston and DiNardo, 1997; Hamilton, 2020; Kalam, 2020; Costa et al., 2024).

The econometric models of this study are shown below.

$$R_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Bit}_{it} + \beta_2 \text{Size}_{it} + \beta_3 \text{Liq}_{it} + \beta_4 \text{Lev}_{it} + \beta_5 \text{ROA}_{it} + \beta_6 \text{Inf}_{it} + \beta_7 \text{GDP}_{it} + \varepsilon_{i,t} \quad (1)$$

$$R_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Eth}_{it} + \beta_2 \text{Size}_{it} + \beta_3 \text{Liq}_{it} + \beta_4 \text{Lev}_{it} + \beta_5 \text{ROA}_{it} + \beta_6 \text{Inf}_{it} + \beta_7 \text{GDP}_{it} + \varepsilon_{i,t} \quad (2)$$

We used the panel data methodology to estimate the parameters of the coefficients of equations (1) and (2) and called them model 1 and model 2. Furthermore, we used the GMM methodology to calculate the coefficients of equations (1) and (2) to strengthen the results. We called them models 3 and 4.

### 3.4 Descriptive statistics and correlations between variables

Table 2 displays the descriptive statistics between variables.

**Table II: Descriptive statistics**

Variable	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
<b>R</b>	-0.04	0.00	0.54	-8.36	3.95
<b>Bit</b>	0.47	0.61	1.32	-1.31	2.57
<b>Eth</b>	0.83	0.83	2.05	-1.72	4.43
<b>Size</b>	7.05	7.06	2.42	0.45	12.60
<b>Liq</b>	3.21	1.38	27.00	0.27	916.00
<b>Lev</b>	0.98	0.60	11.50	0.00	359.00
<b>ROA</b>	0.40	0.03	7.39	-1.79	230.00
<b>Inf</b>	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.12
<b>GDP</b>	0.01	0.02	0.04	-0.08	0.07

Source: Own preparation

Notes: The variables are defined in Table I.

Except for stock returns, all variables show positive values when averaged. This data indicates that contrary to what happened with Euronext stocks, Bitcoin and Ethereum tended to present positive returns between 2017 and 2022. The liquidity ratio has an average value of 3.21. According to Fernandes and Costa (2023), this value indicates that, on average, Euronext companies had no difficulties fulfilling their short-term responsibilities. The data also indicates that, on average, the inflation rate in the sample was 3%, while the GDP was 1%. In Table 3 below, the correlations between the empirical variables are presented.

**Table III: Correlation Matrix**

Variable	R	Bit	Eth	Size	Liq	Lev	ROA	Dps	Inf	GDP
<b>R</b>	1.00	0.27	0.25	0.09	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.02	-0.13	0.02
<b>Bit</b>		1.00	0.95	-0.03	0.02	-0.02	-0.01	-0.03	-0.53	-0.25
<b>Eth</b>			1.00	-0.03	0.03	-0.03	0.00	-0.03	-0.43	-0.09
<b>Size</b>				1.00	0.00	-0.09	-0.10	0.06	0.05	0.02
<b>Liq</b>					1.00	-0.01	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.01

<b>Lev</b>						1.00	0.39	-0.19	-0.01	0.00
<b>ROA</b>							1.00	-0.01	-0.01	0.03
<b>Dps</b>								1.00	0.01	0.00
<b>Inf</b>									1.00	0.38
<b>GDP</b>										1.00

Source: Own preparation

Bitcoin has a strong correlation with Ethereum, so we will not place both variables in the same econometric model to avoid the emergence of multicollinearity problems (Maroco, 2007). On the other hand, the remaining variables have a low correlation, which leads us to conclude that there are no multicollinearity problems (Costa and Matias, 2020). This was further reinforced with VIF tests pointing in the same direction.

#### 4. Empirical Results

In Table 4, it is possible to observe that the Hausman test was used to select the best models, namely whether it is the FE estimator or the RE estimator (Hausman, 1978). The Hausman test results, in conjunction with the one by Wooldridge, suggest that we select the RE regressions with robust standard errors (Gujarati, 2003; Wooldridge, 2010).

Table IV: Estimation results of Models 1 and 2

Dependent variable	$\Delta R$	$\Delta R$
Model	1	2
FE or RE	RE	RE
$\Delta Size$	0.033**	0.033**
$\Delta Liq$	0.001	0.001
$\Delta Lev$	-0.000***	-0.000***
$\Delta ROA$	0.002***	0.001***
$\Delta Infl$	-2.065***	-2.293***
$\Delta GBP$	1.906***	1.577***
$\Delta Bit$	0.127***	-
$\Delta Eth$	-	0.074***
Const	0.037***	0.032***
Obs N	1514	1514
$R^2$ (Overall)	0.1934	0.1659
Hausman (p-value)	9.38 (0.22)	8.85 (0.26)
Wooldridge (p-value)	10.11 (0.00)	7.18 (0.01)

Notes: The variables are defined in Table I. It should also be noted that \*\*\* significance level of 1%, \*\* significance level of 5%, \* significance level of 10%.

Source: Own preparation

The results indicate that the models are globally significant at a significance level of 5%, with Model 1, which contains Bitcoin, having a better explanatory capacity than Model 2, which contains Ethereum. The returns on Euronext stocks are explained by the variables of Model 1 by around 19.34% and by around 16.59% by the variables that make up Model 2.

This data indicates that Bitcoin and Ethereum are important for analyzing Euronext stock returns, which corroborates the studies by Chaim and Laurini (2019), Conlon and MacGee (2020), and Almeida et al. (2021). The results suggest that an increase in Bitcoin value tends to have a positive and statistically significant effect on stock returns. This positive association contradicts the study by Bouri et al. (2017) and Marçal et al. (2020). Still, it aligns with the results presented by Almeida et al. (2021) and suggests that the evolution of Bitcoin prices can help understand the evolution of stock values.

These results can be explained by the fact that Bitcoin has gained great maturity and acceptance among institutional investors, which has made it increasingly more present in investor portfolios (Sun et al., 2021; Nguyen, 2022). This phenomenon made Bitcoin present characteristics more similar to those of the stock market and provoked a decline in its safe haven properties, as referenced by Bouri et al. (2017) and Marçal et al. (2020). Thus, by becoming “just another asset”, the value of Bitcoin became affected by the same systemic factors that influence the traditional markets. The same interpretation must be made for Ethereum.

Table 4.3 suggests that company size tends to have a positive and statistically significant effect on the returns of Euronext stocks. One of the primary explanations for this phenomenon is that larger companies are generally more diversified in products and markets, which can reduce risk and increase the stability of their revenues. Another critical factor is that larger companies tend to have economies of scale and to be more visible and attractive for investments made by institutional investors (Lestari et al., 2022).

The results also suggest that corporate leverage negatively affects Euronext stock returns. These results corroborate the study by (Adami et al., 2013) in the sense that indebtedness increases the company's financial risk, which can affect investor confidence and, consequently, the stock price. When a company is heavily indebted, it may face

difficulties paying interest and outstanding capital. This can lead to a reduction in the company's profitability and decreased cash flows available to shareholders. In addition, the company may have to resort to more short-term loans to pay its debts, which may increase financing costs further.

ROA shows a positive causality with Euronext stock returns. These results suggest that investors seek to allocate their capital to companies that demonstrate improved operational efficiency (Husna and Satria, 2019).

For robustness reasons, we estimate Models 3 and 4 through the GMM methodology in two phases, as shown in Table 5.

**Table V: Estimation Results of Models 3 and 4**

Dependent variable	$\Delta R$	$\Delta R$
Model	3	4
$\Delta R (-1)$	-0,41***	-0.45***
$\Delta Size$	1,12***	1.29***
$\Delta Liq$	0,00	0.00
$\Delta Lev$	-0,00*	-0.00*
$\Delta ROA$	0,00***	0.00***
$\Delta Infl$	-2.81**	-0.74*
$\Delta GBP$	0.90***	0.46*
$\Delta Bit$	0.08***	-
$\Delta Eth$	-	0.10***
Obs N	898	898
Sargan (p-value)	0.47 (0.52)	0.42 (0.31)
Wald (p-value)	907.55 (0.00)	905.44 (0.03)
Hansen over-identification (p-value)	9.30 (0.28)	11.84 (0.11)

Notes: The variables are defined in Table I. It should also be noted that \*\*\* significance level of 1%, \*\* significance level of 5%, \* significance level of 10%.

Source: Own preparation

The results obtained using the GMM methodology confirm the results presented in Table 4 on the impact that Bitcoin, Ethereum, size, leverage, and ROA have on stock returns. Thus, it was possible to validate the two research hypotheses proposed by this work and demonstrate that the cryptocurrency market is increasingly interconnected with the Euronext stock market.

Furthermore, Model 3 confirms the results in Table 4 regarding the impact of the inflation rate and real GDP growth rate on Euronext stock returns. These results align with the study by Islam et al. (2023) and indicate that an increase in the real GDP growth rate tends to be associated with a reduction in the unemployment rate and an increase in family income. Consumption tends to increase with more people employed and more significant disposable income. This could increase corporate profits and, in turn, Euronext stock returns.

Furthermore, the inflation rate negatively and statistically significantly affects stock returns. These results can be explained by the fact that, in response to an inflation level above 2%, the European Central Bank tends to increase interest rates to control inflationary pressure. Rises in the interest rate can increase the cost of credit for businesses and consumers, which can slow economic activity and reduce corporate profits, negatively affecting stock returns (Lee, 2011; Momirović et al., 2021).

## **5. Conclusion**

The price of stocks traded on the capital market fluctuates considerably. Thus, reliably predicting the tendencies regarding the evolution of the value of companies' stocks is difficult, as it may depend on several factors. The main objective of this chapter was to study whether the variation in the price of Bitcoin and Ethereum impacts the annual returns of Euronext stocks, focusing on the period between 2017 and 2022. The results achieved in this empirical investigation suggest that Bitcoin and Ethereum positively influence the returns of Euronext stocks. The notoriety obtained by these cryptocurrencies among investors caused them to lose the safe haven characteristics they had in a more embryonic phase. The fact that they are seen as just another financial asset means they are influenced by the same systemic factors that affect the stock market. Therefore, this research concludes that investors who add Bitcoin and Ethereum to their investment portfolios have potentially increased the risk factor and should adjust their investment allocation strategies to manage that risk.

Likewise, this study demonstrates the importance of company-specific indicators in determining market price. The importance of factors such as size, leverage, and ROA in



explaining the evolution of the market price of Euronext stocks is evidenced in the results of this chapter.

Carrying out this study proves relevant for all investors operating in the stock market, as it highlights important indicators that should be considered when making a stock investment decision. Another contribution made by this study is that it focuses on the enrichment of the Euronext market analysis, given the existence of a deficit in studies relating to this market.

Finally, it is important to highlight that this study does not consider the level of regulation imposed by each country on cryptocurrencies and only analyzes Bitcoin and Ethereum. Therefore, we suggest that future investigations analyze the level of regulation regarding cryptocurrencies in each country. Additionally, more cryptocurrencies, such as Ripple, Stellar, Tether, Dogecoin, Litecoin, and Binancecoin, may be included in the analysis.

## References

- Acikalin, S., Aktas, R., and Unal, S. (2008). Relationships between stock markets and macroeconomic variables: an empirical analysis of the Istanbul Stock Exchange. *Investment Management and Financial Innovations*, 5, pp.8-16.
- Adami, R., Gough, O., Muradoglu, Y. G., & Sivaprasad, S. (2013), "How does a firm's capital structure affect stock performance?", *Frontiers in Finance and Economics*, Vol. 12 No. 1, pp. 1-31.
- Ahmed, M. S., Alsamman, A., & Chebbi, K. (2024). Feedback trading in the cryptocurrency market. *Studies in Economics and Finance*, 41(1), 46-63. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SEF-02-2023-0096>.
- Al-Khazali, O., Bouri, E., & Roubaud, D. (2018), "The impact of positive and negative macroeconomic news surprises: Gold versus Bitcoin", *Economics Bulletin*, Vol. 38 No. 1, pp. 373-382.
- Almeida, J., Correia, J., & Dionisio, A. (2021), Relação entre a Bitcoin e o Mercado Acionista, *CMVM-Cadernos do Mercado de Valores Mobiliários*, No. 68, pp. 8-35.
- Amaro, M., & Costa, L. M. (2023). Determinants of access to healthcare in European countries. *European Journal of Applied Business and Management*, 9(4). [https://doi.org/10.58869/EJABM9\(4\)/05](https://doi.org/10.58869/EJABM9(4)/05).
- Antara, S., Sepang, J., & Saerang, I. S. (2014), "Analisis rasio likuiditas, aktivitas, dan profitabilitas terhadap return saham perusahaan wholesale yang terdaftar di bursa efek Indonesia", *Jurnal EMBA: Jurnal Riset Ekonomi, Manajemen, Bisnis dan Akuntansi*, Vol. 2 No. 3. <https://doi.org/10.35794/emba.2.3.2014.5730>.
- Anwaar, M. (2016). Impact of firms' performance on stock returns (evidence from listed companies of FTSE-100 Index London, UK). *Global Journal of Management and Business Research*, 16(1), 31-39.
- Arellano, M. (2003), *Panel data econometrics*, OUP Oxford, UK.
- Attarzadeh, A., & Balcilar, M. (2022), "On the dynamic return and volatility connectedness of cryptocurrency, crude oil, clean energy, and stock markets: a time-varying

- analysis”, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, Vol. 29, pp. 65185-65196. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-20115-2>.
- Bariviera, A. F. (2017), “The inefficiency of Bitcoin revisited: A dynamic approach”, *Economics Letters*, Vol. 161, pp 1-4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2017.09.013>.
- Baumöhl, E. (2019), “Are cryptocurrencies connected to forex? A quantile cross-spectral approach”, *Finance Research Letters*, Vol. 29, pp. 363-372. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2018.09.002>.
- Beneke, C., Koulis, A., Kyriazis, N. A., & Papadamou, S. (2019). Investigating volatility transmission and hedging properties between Bitcoin and Ethereum. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 48, 219-227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2019.01.001>.
- Bouoiyour, J., & Selmi, R. (2015), “What does Bitcoin look like?”, *Annals of Economics and Finance*, Vol. 16 No. 2, pp. 449-492.
- Bouri, E., Molnár, P., Azzi, G., Roubaud, D., & Hagfors, L. I. (2017), “On the hedge and safe haven properties of Bitcoin: Is it really more than a diversifier?”, *Finance Research Letters*, Vol. 20, pp.192-198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2016.09.025>.
- Bouri, E., Salisu, A. A., & Gupta, R. (2023). The predictive power of Bitcoin prices for the realized volatility of US stock sector returns. *Financial Innovation*, 9(1), 62. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-023-00464-8>.
- Bouri, E., Shahzad, S. J. H., Roubaud, D., Kristoufek, L., & Lucey, B. (2020). Bitcoin, gold, and commodities as safe havens for stocks: New insight through wavelet analysis. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 77, 156-164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.qref.2020.03.004>.
- Chaim, P., & Laurini, M. P. (2019). Is Bitcoin a bubble?. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 517, 222-232. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2018.11.031>.
- Cheah, E. T., & Fry, J. (2015). Speculative bubbles in Bitcoin markets? An empirical investigation into the fundamental value of Bitcoin. *Economics Letters*, 130, 32-36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2015.02.029>.
- Chu, J., Chan, S., & Zhang, Y. (2021). Bitcoin versus high-performance technology stocks in diversifying against global stock market indices. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, 580, 126-161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2021.126161>.
- Ciaian, P., & Rajcaniova, M. (2018). Virtual relationships: Short-and long-run evidence from BitCoin and altcoin markets. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 52, 173-195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intfin.2017.11.001>.
- Conlon, T., & McGee, R. (2020). Safe haven or risky hazard? Bitcoin during the COVID-19 bear market. *Finance Research Letters*, 35, 101-607. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2020.101607>.
- Corbet, S., Meegan, A., Larkin, C., Lucey, B., & Yarovaya, L. (2018). Exploring the dynamic relationships between cryptocurrencies and other financial assets. *Economics Letters*, 165, 28-34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2018.01.004>.
- Costa, J., & Matias, J. C. (2020). Open innovation 4.0 as an enhancer of sustainable innovation ecosystems. *Sustainability*, 12(19), 8112. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12198112>.
- Costa, L. M. (2022a). Determinants of Annual Abnormal Yields of Stocks belonging to the Euro stoxx 50 Index. *European Journal of Applied Business and Management*, 8(2).
- Costa, L. M. (2022b). The impact of productivity on Euronext stock returns. *European Journal of Applied Business and Management*, 8(4).
- Costa, L., Ribeiro, A., & Machado, C. (2021). Determinantes do preço de mercado das ações: evidência empírica para o PSI 20. *Revista Gestin*, Nº22, 41-53.
- Costa, L., Vieira, E., & Madaleno, M. (2024a). Does Googling Impact Euronext Stock Returns?, *IBIMA Business Review*, Vol. 2024 (2024), Article ID 963956. <https://doi.org/10.5171/2024.963956>.
- Costa, L., Vieira, E., & Madaleno, M. (2024b). The Impact of Business Investment on Euronext Stock Returns: A Study of Companies Listed at Amsterdam, Brussels, Paris, and Lisbon

- Stock Exchanges between the Years 2017 and 2022. *IBIMA Business Review*, Vol. 2024 (2024), Article ID 526234. <https://doi.org/10.5171/2024.526234>.
- Costa, L., Vieira, E., & Madaleno, M. (2024c). Quantitative Strategies to Outperform the S&P 500 by Investing in Euronext Stocks. *Journal of Financial Studies & Research*, Vol. 2024 (2024), Article ID 171229. <https://doi.org/10.5171/2024.171229>.
- Curto, J. (2022). Ganhos em Bolsa - Bolsa mito ou realidade? Histórias e aventuras de quem sonha com o jackpot. *Publicação Torraza Piemonte (TO)*, Itália.
- Dastgir, S., Demir, E., Downing, G., Gozgor, G., & Lau, C. K. M. (2019). The causal relationship between Bitcoin attention and Bitcoin returns: Evidence from the Copula-based Granger causality test. *Finance Research Letters*, 28, 160-164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2018.04.019>.
- De Vries, A. (2023). Cryptocurrencies on the road to sustainability: Ethereum paving the way for Bitcoin. *Patterns*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patter.2022.100633>.
- Devpura, N., Narayan, P. K., & Sharma, S. S. (2018). Is stock return predictability time-varying? *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 52, 152-172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intfin.2017.06.001>.
- Di Simone, L., & Zanardi, D. (2021). On the relationship between sport and financial performances: an empirical investigation. *Managerial Finance*, 47(6), 812-824. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MF-09-2020-0478>.
- Din, W. U. (2017). Stock return predictability with financial ratios: Evidence from PSX 100 index companies. Available at SSRN 3077890. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3077890>.
- Dinh, M. T. H. (2023). ESG, time horizons, risks and stock returns. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 65, 101981. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2023.101981>.
- Dyhrberg, A. H. (2016a). Hedging capabilities of bitcoin. Is it the virtual gold? *Finance Research Letters*, 16, 139-144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2015.10.025>.
- Dyhrberg, A. H. (2016b). Bitcoin, gold and the dollar—A GARCH volatility analysis. *Finance Research Letters*, 16, 85-92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2015.10.008>.
- Fabris, N., & Ješić, M. (2023). Are Gold and Bitcoin a safe haven for european indices? *Journal of Central Banking Theory and Practice*, 12(1), 27-44. <https://doi.org/10.2478/jcbtp-2023-0002>.
- Farooq, U., Ahmed, J., & Khan, S. (2021). Do the macroeconomic factors influence the firm's investment decisions? A generalized method of moments (GMM) approach. *International Journal of Finance & Economics*, 26(1), 790-801. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.1820>.
- Fernandes, L., & Costa, L. M. (2023). The impact of the sports and financial performance of European football clubs on their share prices. *European Journal of Applied Business and Management*, 9(4). [https://doi.org/10.58869/EJABM9\(4\)/04](https://doi.org/10.58869/EJABM9(4)/04).
- Gil-Alana, L. A., Abakah, E. J. A., & Rojo, M. F. R. (2020). Cryptocurrencies and stock market indices. Are they related? *Research in International Business and Finance*, 51, 101063. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2019.101063>.
- Glaser, F., Zimmermann, K., Haferkorn, M., Weber, M. C., & Siering, M. (2014). Bitcoin-asset or currency? Revealing users' hidden intentions. *Revealing Users' Hidden Intentions (April 15, 2014)*. ECIS.
- Grullon, G., & Ikenberry, D. L. (2000). What do we know about stock repurchases? *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, 13(1), 31-51.
- Grullon, G., & Michaely, R. (2002). Dividends, share repurchases, and the substitution hypothesis. *The Journal of Finance*, 57(4), 1649-1684.
- Grullon, G., & Michaely, R. (2004). The information content of share repurchase programs. *The Journal of Finance*, 59(2), 651-680.
- Guesmi, K., Saadi, S., Abid, I., & Ftiti, Z. (2019). Portfolio diversification with virtual currency: Evidence from bitcoin. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 63, 431-437. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2018.03.004>.
- Gujarati, D. N. (2003). *Basic Econometrics*. McGraw-Hill, New York, NY.
- Gujarati, D. N., & Porter, D. C. (2009). *Basic econometrics*. McGraw-hill.

- Hamilton, J. D. (2020). *Time Series Analysis*, Princeton University Press, U.S.
- Harvey, D. I., Leybourne, S. J., & Taylor, A. R. (2021). Simple tests for stock return predictability with good size and power properties. *Journal of Econometrics*, 224(1), 198-214. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2021.01.004>.
- Hausman, J. A. (1978). Specification tests in econometrics. *Econometrica: Journal of the econometric society*, 1251-1271. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1913827>.
- Hu, Y., Li, X., & Shen, D. (2020). Attention allocation and international stock return comovement: evidence from the Bitcoin market. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 54, 101286. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2020.101286>.
- Husna, A., & Satria, I. (2019). Effects of return on asset, debt to asset ratio, current ratio, firm size, and dividend payout ratio on firm value. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 9(5), 50-54.
- Islam, M. S., Parvin, R., Milon, M., & Das, M. K. (2023). The impact of gross domestic product on the Bangladesh stock market: An empirical analysis. *International Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 12(1), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.5923/j.ijfa.20231201.01>.
- Jia, Z., Tiwari, S., Zhou, J., Farooq, U., & Fareed, Z. (2023). Asymmetric nexus between Bitcoin, gold resources and stock market returns: Novel findings from quantile estimates. *Resources Policy*, 81, 103405. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2023.103405>.
- Johnston, J., & DiNardo, J. (1997). *Econometric Methods*, McGraw-HiU, U.S.
- Kalam, K. (2020). The effects of macroeconomic variables on stock market returns: Evidence from Malaysia's stock market return performance. *Journal of World Business*, 55(8), 1-13.
- Katsiampa, P. (2017). Volatility estimation for Bitcoin: A comparison of GARCH models. *Economics Letters*, 158, 3-6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2017.06.023>.
- Kim, J., Yang, I., Yang, T., & Koveos, P. (2021). The impact of R&D intensity, financial constraints, and dividend payout policy on firm value. *Finance Research Letters*, 40, 101802.
- Klein, T., Thu, H. P., & Walther, T. (2018). Bitcoin is not the New Gold—A comparison of volatility, correlation, and portfolio performance. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 59, 105-116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2018.07.010>.
- Kristjanpoller, W., & Bouri, E. (2019). Asymmetric multifractal cross-correlations between the main world currencies and the main cryptocurrencies. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 523, 1057-1071. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2019.04.115>.
- Kristoufek, L. (2015). What are the main drivers of the Bitcoin price? Evidence from wavelet coherence analysis. *Plos One*, 10(4). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0123923>.
- Kwon, J. H. (2020). Tail behavior of Bitcoin, the dollar, gold and the stock market index. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 67, 101202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intfin.2020.101202>.
- Lee, S. D. (2011). Effect of Inflation on the Level of Interest Time Deposit. Available at SSRN 1917097. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1917097>.
- Lestari, S., Kurniasih, R., & Sutrisno, T. A. (2022). Can Company Characteristics and Google Search Increase Stock Returns? An Evidence from Jakarta Islamic Index. In *International Conference on Sustainable Innovation Track Accounting and Management Sciences (ICOSIAMS 2021)*, Atlantis Press, 73-78. <https://doi.org/10.2991/aebmr.k.211225.012>.
- Li, T., Xiang, C., Liu, Z., & Cai, W. (2020). Annual report disclosure timing and stock price crash risk. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 62, 101392.
- Liu, Y., & Tsyvinski, A. (2021). Risks and returns of cryptocurrency. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 34(6), 2689-2727. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhaa113>.
- López-Cabarcos, M. Á., Pérez-Pico, A. M., Piñeiro-Chousa, J., & Šević, A. (2021). Bitcoin volatility, stock market and investor sentiment. Are they connected? *Finance Research Letters*, 38, 101399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2019.101399>.



- Lucita, S. D., & Mulyana, B. (2021). The Influence of Liquidity, Profitability, Solvency, and Sales Growth on Stock Returns and its Implications on the Corporate Value (Study on Food and Beverage Issuers Year 2015-2019). *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology (IJRASET)*, 9(1), 733-734. <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2021.32899>.
- Maghyreh, A., & Abdoh, H. (2020). Tail dependence between Bitcoin and financial assets: Evidence from a quantile cross-spectral approach. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 71, 101545. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2020.101545>.
- Marçal, R. R., Chacon, B. P., & Flach, L. (2020). Is Bitcoin an opportunity or a threat to the relevance of accounting information? *Revista Mineira de Contabilidade*, 21(1), 46-55. <https://doi.org/10.21714/2446-9114RMC2020v21n1t04>.
- Mariana, C. D., Ekaputra, I. A., & Husodo, Z. A. (2021). Are Bitcoin and Ethereum safe-havens for stocks during the COVID-19 pandemic? *Finance Research Letters*, 38, 101798. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2020.101798>.
- Maroco, J. (2007). *Análise estatística com utilização do SPSS*, Lisbon.
- Matkovskyy, R., Jalan, A., & Dowling, M. (2020). Effects of economic policy uncertainty shocks on the interdependence between Bitcoin and traditional financial markets. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 77, 150-155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.qref.2020.02.004>.
- Meshcheryakov, A., & Ivanov, S. (2020). Ethereum as a hedge: The intraday analysis. *Economics Bulletin*, 40(1), 101.
- Meurer, R., Noriller, R., Huppel, C., Sousa, A., & Arakaki, K. (2020). Relation between the stock return and endogenous variables of latin american companies from utilities sector. *Negócios em Projeção*, 11(1), 45-58.
- Momirović, D., Simonović, Z., & Kostić, A. (2021). ECB monetary policy during COVID-19. *Ekonomika*, 67(2), 13-22.
- Muhammad, S., & Ali, G. (2018). The relationship between fundamental analysis and stock returns based on the panel data analysis; evidence from karachi stock exchange (kse). *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 9(3), 84-96.
- Nadarajah, S., & Chu, J. (2017). On the inefficiency of Bitcoin. *Economics Letters*, 150, 6-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2016.10.033>.
- Nadyayani, D. A. D., and Suarjaya, A. A. G. (2021). The Effect of Profitability on Stock Return. *American Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Research (AJHSSR)*, 5, pp. 695-703.
- Nakamoto, S. (2008). Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system. *Decentralized Business Review*, 21260.
- Nalurita, F. (2017). The effect of profitability ratio, solvability ratio, market ratio on stock return. *Business and Entrepreneurial Review*, 15(1), 73-94. <https://doi.org/10.25105/ber.v15i1.2080>.
- Narayan, P. K., & Bannigidadmath, D. (2015). Are Indian stock returns predictable? *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 58, 506-531. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2015.05.001>.
- Narayan, P. K., Phan, D. H. B., Sharma, S. S., & Westerlund, J. (2016). Are Islamic stock returns predictable? A global perspective. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 40, 210-223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2016.08.008>.
- Neves, M. E. D., Sousa, M., & Barbosa, C. (2018). Determinantes da rentabilidade das ações: um estudo de empresas cotadas na Euronext Lisbon. *Portuguese Journal of Finance, Management and Accounting*, 4(7).
- Nguyen, C. P., Schinckus, C., & Nguyen, T. V. H. (2019). Google search and stock returns in emerging markets. *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 19(4), 288-296.
- Nguyen, K. Q. (2022). The correlation between the stock market and Bitcoin during COVID-19 and other uncertainty periods. *Finance Research Letters*, 46, 102284. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2021.102284>.
- Nisa, M. U., & Nishat, M. (2011). The determinants of stock prices in Pakistan. *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, 1(4), 276-291.

- Nonejad, N. (2017). Forecasting aggregate stock market volatility using financial and macroeconomic predictors: Which models forecast best, when and why? *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 42, 131-154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jempfin.2017.03.003>.
- Nurfadila, N. (2020). Does CSRD and GCG moderate the effect of Financial Performance on Stock Return?. *ATESTASI: Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi*, 3, pp. 133-141, doi: 10.57178/atestasi.v3i2.262.
- Ozturk, H., & Karabulut, T. A. (2020) Impact of financial ratios on technology and telecommunication stock returns: Evidence from an emerging market. *Investment Management and Financial Innovations*, 17(2), 76-87.
- Pericoli, M. (2020). On risk factors of the stock–bond correlation. *Int. Finance* 23 (3). 392–416. <https://doi.org/10.1111/infi.12369>.
- Phan, D. H. B., Sharma, S. S., & Narayan, P. K. (2015). Stock return forecasting: Some new evidence. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 40, 38-5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2015.05.002>.
- Pražák, T., & Stavárek, D. (2017). The effect of financial ratios on the stock price development. Working Paper No. 43, *Interdisciplinary Economics and Business Research*, School of Business Administration, Silesian University, Czech Republic, August.
- Rahmi, S., Nabila, L. Z., & Mulatsih, L. S. (2024). Analysis of Factors That Affect Stock Returns of Food and Beverage Companies Listed on The BEI 2019-2022. *Journal of Economic Global*, 1(2), 90-97.
- Rai, V. (2022). Cryptocurrency: not a safe haven in 2022. Available at: <https://economics.td.com/ca-cryptocurrency-not-safe-haven> (accessed 10 September 2023).
- Riahi, R., Bennajma, A., Jahmane, A., & Hammami, H. (2024). Investing in cryptocurrency before and during the COVID-19 crisis: Hedge, diversifier or safe haven?. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 67, 102102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2023.102102>.
- Ribeiro, A., & Quesado, P. (2017). Fatores Explicativos da Rendibilidade Anormal Anual das Ações. *European Journal of Applied Business and Management*.
- Ribeiro, B., & Costa, L. (2024). A política de dividendos das empresas da Euronext perante a crise de Covid-19. *Portuguese Journal of Finance, Management and Accounting*, 10(19). <https://doi.org/10.54663/2183-3826>.
- Sabalionis, A., Wang, W., & Park, H. (2021). What affects the price movements in Bitcoin and Ethereum?. *The Manchester School*, 89(1), 102-127. <https://doi.org/10.1111/manc.12352>.
- Šafka, J. (2014). Virtual currencies in real economy: Bitcoin. Faculty of Social Sciences, University Karlova.
- Salisu, A. A., Isah, K., & Akanni, L. O. (2019). Improving the predictability of stock returns with Bitcoin prices. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance*, 48, 857-867. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.najef.2018.08.010>.
- Santana, C. V. S., Santos, L. P. G. dos, Carvalho Júnior, C. V. de O., & Martinez, A. L. (2020). Sentimento do investidor e gerenciamento de resultados no Brasil. *Revista Contabilidade and Finanças*, 31(83), 283-301. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1808-057x201909130>.
- Santosa, P. W. (2019). Financial performance, exchange rate and stock return: Evidence from manufacturing sector. *Jurnal Manajemen Teknologi*, 18(3), 205-217. <https://doi.org/10.12695/jmt.2019.18.3.5>.
- Schielzeth, H., Dingemanse, N. J., Nakagawa, S., Westneat, D. F., Allogue, H., Teplitsky, C., & Araya-Ajoy, Y. G. (2020). Robustness of linear mixed-effects models to violations of distributional assumptions. *Methods in ecology and evolution*, 11(9), 1141-1152. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13434>.
- Sensoy, A., Silva, T. C., Corbet, S., & Tabak, B. M. (2021). High-frequency return and volatility spillovers among cryptocurrencies. *Applied Economics*, 53(37), 4310-4328. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2021.1899119>.

- Serafeim, G., & Yoon, A. (2022). Stock price reactions to ESG news: The role of ESG ratings and disagreement. *Review of Accounting Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11142-022-09675-3>.
- Shahzad, S. J. H., Bouri, E., Roubaud, D., & Kristoufek, L. (2020). Safe haven, hedge and diversification for G7 stock markets: Gold versus bitcoin. *Economic Modelling*, 87, 212-224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2019.07.023>.
- Shahzad, S. J. H., Bouri, E., Roubaud, D., Kristoufek, L., & Lucey, B. (2019). Is Bitcoin a better safe-haven investment than gold and commodities? *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 63, 322-330. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2019.01.002>.
- Sinlapates, P., Sriwong, T., & Chancharat, S. (2023). Risk spillovers between Bitcoin and ASEAN+ 6 stock markets before and after COVID-19 outbreak: A comparative analysis with gold. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 16(2), 103. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm16020103>.
- Smales, L. A. (2019). Bitcoin as a safe haven: Is it even worth considering? *Finance Research Letters*, 30, 385-393, doi: 10.1016/j.frl.2018.11.002.
- Sun, G., Yin, D., Kong, T., & Yin, L. (2024). The impact of the integration of the digital economy and the real economy on the risk of stock price collapse. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 85, 102373, doi: 10.1016/j.pacfin.2024.102373.
- Sun, W., Dedahanov, A. T., Shin, H. Y., & Li, W. P. (2021). Factors affecting institutional investors to add crypto-currency to asset portfolios. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance*, 58, 101499.
- Suprayitno, D., Sari, A. L., Judijanto, L., Amalia, D., & Sukomardojo, T. (2024). Blockchain And Cryptocurrency: Revolutionizing Digital Payment Systems and Their Implications on The Digital Economy. *Migration Letters*, 21(S6), 932-944.
- Symitsi, E., & Chalvatzis, K. J. (2018). Return, volatility and shock spillovers of Bitcoin with energy and technology companies. *Economics Letters*, 170, 127-130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2018.06.012>.
- Tehrani, A. G., & Tehrani, A. (2015). The effect on financial ratios to predict company profits and stock returns. *The International Journal of Life Sciences*, 5, pp.591-599.
- Thakur, R., & Workman, L. (2016). Customer portfolio management (CPM) for improved customer relationship management (CRM): Are your customers platinum, gold, silver, or bronze? *Journal of Business Research*, 69(10), 4095-4102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.03.042>.
- Todorova, N. (2017). The intraday directional predictability of large Australian stocks: A cross-quantile analysis. *Economic Modelling*, 64, 221-230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2017.03.022>.
- Urquhart, A. (2016). The inefficiency of Bitcoin. *Economics Letters*, 148, 80-82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2016.09.019>.
- Urquhart, A. (2018). What causes the attention of Bitcoin? *Economics Letters*, 168, 40-44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2018.02.017>.
- Vidal-Tomás, D., & Ibañez, A. (2018). Semi-strong efficiency of Bitcoin. *Finance Research Letters*, 27, 259-265. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2018.03.013>.
- Vieira, E. S., Henriques, A. F. C., and Neves, M. E. (2018). Fatores determinantes do desempenho das empresas portuguesas cotadas, Estudos do ISCA, (17), pp.1-19, doi: 10.34624/ei.v0i17.124.
- Vieira, E. S., Neves, M. E., & Dias, A. G. (2019). Determinants of Portuguese firms' financial performance: panel data evidence. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 68(7), 1323-1342. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-06-2018-0210>.
- Wang, J., Xue, Y., & Liu, M. (2016). An analysis of bitcoin price based on VEC model. In *2016 International Conference on Economics and Management Innovations*, Atlantis Press, Wuhan, China, 180-186. <https://doi.org/10.2991/icemi-16.2016.36>.
- Wijk, D. (2013). What can be expected from the Bitcoin? *Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam*, 18 July.



- Wooldridge, J. M. (2010). *Econometric analysis of cross section and panel data*, The MIT Press, London, England.
- Zhao, L., Naktnasukanjn, N., Dawod, A. Y., & Zhang, B. (2024). Impacts of Investor Attention and Accounting Information Comparability on Stock Returns: Empirical Evidence from Chinese Listed Companies. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, 12(1), 18, doi: 10.3390/ijfs12010018.